

REMARKS

In the Official Action mailed on **October 27, 2003**, the examiner reviewed claims 1-45. Claims 1-45 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Graham et al. (USPN 6,457,026, hereinafter "Graham").

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) and 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

Independent claims 1, 8, 15, 22, 30, and 38 were rejected as being unpatentable over Graham. Applicant respectfully points out that Graham teaches an elongated thumbnail view of a document **in conjunction with** a selected portion of the document to allow a user to determine where the selected portion of the document exists in the overall document (see Graham, FIGs. 2A-D and col. 8, lines 33-63).

In contrast, the present invention provides a line drawing version of a graphical image (not a thumbnail) to be used **in place of** a full bitmap image of the graphical image. This line drawing version of the graphical image includes the outlines of shapes included in the graphical image (see page 7, lines 5-14 of the instant application). Note that unlike a thumbnail view of an image, a line drawing version is the **same size** as the image and can be used in place of the image without altering the graphical layout of a web page (as would be necessary if a smaller thumbnail view of the image were substituted for the original image). Also, in many cases the line drawing version of the image retains details that would be lost in a lower-resolution version of the image.

There is nothing within Graham, either explicit or implicit, which suggests providing a line drawing version of a graphical image to be used in place of a full bitmap image, wherein the line drawing version of the graphical image includes the outlines of shapes included in the graphical image. In fact, Graham teaches away from using the line drawing version of a graphical image in place of a full

bitmap image because Graham displays both an elongated thumbnail view of a document and a selected portion of the document simultaneously.

Accordingly, Applicant has amended independent claims 1, 8, 15, 22, 30, and 38 to clarify that the present invention provides a line drawing version of a graphical image to be used in place of a full bitmap image, wherein the line drawing version of the graphical image includes the outlines of shapes included in the graphical image. These amendments find support on page 7, lines 5-14 of the instant application.

Hence, Applicant respectfully submits that independent claims 1, 8, 15, 22, 30, and 38 as presently amended are in condition for allowance. Applicant also submits that claims 2-7, which depend upon claim 1, claims 9-14, which depend upon claim 8, claims 16-21, which depend upon claim 15, claims 23-29, which depend upon claim 22, claims 31-37, which depend upon claim 30, and claims 39-45, which depend upon claim 38 are for the same reasons in condition for allowance and for reasons of the unique combinations recited in such claims.

CONCLUSION

It is submitted that the present application is presently in form for allowance. Such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

By _____
Edward J. Grundler
Registration No. 47, 615

Date: November 13, 2003

Edward J. Grundler
PARK, VAUGHAN & FLEMING LLP
508 Second Street, Suite 201
Davis, CA 95616-4692
Tel: (530) 759-1663
FAX: (530) 759-1665